

June 18, 2010

L-2010-127 10 CFR 50.73

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: St. Lucie Unit 1 and 2

Docket No. 50-335

Reportable Event: 2010-003 Date of Event: May 5, 2010

<u>Unanalyzed Condition Resulting from Alignment of Non-Essential CCW Header under Certain Accident Scenarios</u>

The attached Licensee Event Report 2010-003 is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73 to provide notification of the subject event.

Richard L. Anderson
Site Vice President

St. Lucie Plant

RLA/dlc

Attachment

IE22 NIM

NRC FORM 366		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							APPROV	ED BY OMB	NO. 3150-01	04	Ë	XPIRES:	08/31/2010						
(9-2007)		LICEN	ISEE E	VENT	REP	ORT	(LER)			burden e F52), U.S or by inte of Inform Manager impose a control nu required t	process and stimate to the S. Nuclear Re- formet e-mail to ation and Re- nent and Buran information under, the Ni to respond to,	response to eported lesso de fed back to fee Records a egulatory Com infocollects@gulatory Affair dget, Washing n collection de RC may not cothe informatio	comply with ns learned a industry. Se nickly provided to the control of the complex control of the complex control of the cont	this in the ire ire ire ire ire ire ire ire ire ir	mandatory ncorporate comments Service B on, DC 20 e Desk Off 3150-0104 If a mear currently and a pe	v collection d into the regarding ranch (T-5 0555-0001, licer, Office of s used to valid OMB rson is not					
1. FACIL	ITY NAM			.,						2. DOCK	(ET NUMB	ER	3. PAGE								
		St.	Luci	e Un:	ıt I	an	d 2				050003.	35			OF 5						
Accide	ent Sc	cenari	os							on-Es						ain					
5. E	VENT D	ATE	6.		JMBER		7. R	EPORT D	ATE	FACILI				OL'	VED DOCKET N	UMBER					
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR		ENTIAL IBER	REV NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAF	:											
05	05	2010	2010			00	06	18	201	ס						regarding anch (T-5 555-0001, Der, Office of se used to all in DIMBER DIMBER DIMBER P(y) (A) B) A) TABLE EPIX YEAR					
9. OPER	ATING N	ODE	11	. THIS I	REPOR	TISS	SUBMITTE	D PURSL	IANT TO	THE R	EQUIREME	NTS OF 10	CFR§: (Ch	eck i	all that a _l	oply)					
10. POW	1 ER LEV I	EL	20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2 20.2	201(b) 201(d) 203(a)(203(a)(203(a)(203(a)(203(a)(203(a)(203(a)(203(a)((2)(i) (2)(ii) (2)(iii) (2)(iv) (2)(v)		2 2 5 5 5 5 5	0.2203(a) 0.2203(a) 0.2203(a) 0.36(c)(1) 0.36(c)(1) 0.36(c)(2) 0.46(a)(3) 0.73(a)(2) 0.73(a)(2)	(3)(ii) (4) (i)(A) (ii)(A) (ii)(A) (ii) (i)(B)	0 0 0 0 0	50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a)	(2)(ii)(A) (2)(ii)(B) (2)(iii) (2)(iv)(A) (2)(v)(A) (2)(v)(B) (2)(v)(C)	☐ 50 ☐ 50 ☐ 50 ☐ 73 ☐ 73 ☐ 0	.73(.73(.73(.73(.71(.71(FHE	a)(2)(viii) a)(2)(viii) a)(2)(ix)(a)(2)(x) a)(4) a)(5) R	o(A) o(B) A)					
NAME						12	. LICENSI	EE CONT	ACT FC	R THIS I	LER	ITEI	EPHÔNE NUM	BER (Include Area	Code)					
_		Do	nald :	L. Ce	ecche	tt -	- Licer	nsing E	Engin	eer											
							,				DESCRIBE	D IN THIS R	EPORT								
CAUSE SYSTEM		SYSTEM		COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER		REPORTABLE TO EPIX			CAUSE			T MANU									
D	'	CC	НΣ		-		YE														
		14	. SUPPL	EMENT	TAL RE	PORT	EXPECT	ED					MONTH		DAY	YEAR					
☐ YE	S (If yes,	complete	15. EXF	PECTEL	SUBN	<i>n</i> issic	N DATE)		\boxtimes	NO						P)(vii) P)(viii)(A) P)(viii)(B) P)(viii)(B					
ABSTRA	CT (Limi	t to 1400 :	spaces, i	.е., арр	roximat	tely 15	single-sp	aced type	vritten l	ines)						L					
pe the Co	rcent at the mpone erati ader r the e rea ader sults align	power e condent Des ng phi to an e purpo ctor of follower in arment of esulting the	wher wher dition sign Eulosop esserose of coolar wing an unar of the in the	n the disasis by ontial samuel for all armalyze non ne fa	CONSICOVER CONSICO CONSICOVER CONSICO CONSICOR CONSICO CONSICOR CO	ite red pect stor der g of RCP) reak ondi enti	Review on Aug ion (C ing a follow the s seals loss-tion t al CCW 2 or	Group (ust 7, (DBI), non-es ving a team g . Re- of-coc hat si heade more t	(OR 200 the sent safe ener aligher for cain	G) value of the second of the	lidated as reportation to omponen for ac of the dent (Lly degrade as LB differe	the State table. eam quest cooling actuation	ation and During stioned and water and coolsential for United and safe oth United Embarts from the Embarts and the Embarts from Embarts	th the contract of the contrac	ysis NRC e CCW) (SIA g of W	. 2 ly					
Th	e cau	se of	the e	event	was	det	ermine	d to b	e an	inade	### PROPERTIES IN THE REPORT BOTHER SUBMISSION DATE System Component cooling water (CCW) injection actuation signal (SIAS) ors for activity and cooling of ent of the non-essential country degreeded plant safety. Sty operating procedure review. OS 1000335										
Co pr he	The cause of the event was determined to be an inadequate EOP procedure review. Corrective actions included revisions to emergency operating procedures (EOPs) to preclude alignment of the non-essential CCW header (N-header) to the essential CCW header, and issuance of a Standing Order providing guidance to the operating crews.																				

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
	05000335	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	D	
St. Lucie Unit 1 and 2		2010	- 003 -	00	Page 2 of 5	

NARRATIVE

Description of the Event

On May 5, 2010, St. Lucie Unit 1 and Unit 2 were operating in Mode 1 at 100% power when the ORG validated the Station analysis that the condition discovered on August 7, 2009, was reportable. During a NRC CDBI, the inspection team questioned the operating philosophy of restoring a non-essential CCW [EIIS:CC] header to an essential header following a SIAS [EIIS:IB] to allow sampling of the steam generators for activity and cooling of the RCP [EIIS:AB] seals. This configuration results in an unanalyzed condition that significantly degraded plant safety for Unit 2 and results in the failure of 2 or more trains in different systems from properly completing their safety function if a failure were to occur on the non-essential CCW header for both Units 1 and 2.

Cause of the Event

The cause of the event was determined to be an inadequate EOP procedure review.

In 1992 a major philosophy change was incorporated into EOP procedures changes to incorporate training, operating experience (OE,) INPO enhancements, and human factor improvements documented over the previous year. Technical Staff reviews of the procedures at the time determined the proposed changes did not constitute a change to the UFSAR, and subsequent reviews by the ORG approved the changes. The procedures, as written, are in agreement with CEN-152, Combustion Engineering Emergency Procedure Guideline which is written to a "standard Combustion Engineering" design. Sections of the EOPs that could potentially put the plant outside of the design basis were reserved for plant specific instructions that should have been identified by the 50.59 process. The 50.59 process at the time did not identify the error nor did the ORG identify any concerns. Additionally the ORG determined that the changes were within the design basis.

Analysis of the Event

During the NRC CDBI, an inspector identified that Unit 1 Emergency Operating Procedure, 1-EOP-99, "Appendices/Figures/Tables/Data Sheets, Appendix A, "Sampling Steam Generators" and Appendix J, "Restoration of CCW and CBO to the RCPs" provides instructions to align the non-essential CCW header to the essential CCW header. Appendix A is used to align CCW in order to sample the steam generators. Appendix J is used to align CCW to provide cooling to the RCP seals. Both Units have a precaution statement in Appendix A and J which states, "Under SIAS conditions the CCW 'N' header should only be aligned to ONE essential header. This will maintain train separation while safeguards signals are still present." This precaution statement implies that both essential CCW headers are available, however a failure of one diesel generator would result in the loss of one of the essential CCW headers. Therefore, implementation of 1-EOP-99, Appendix A and J to align the non-essential CCW to an essential CCW header when only one essential header is available, under certain accident scenarios, could potentially place the plant in an unanalyzed condition.

The safety-related functions performed by the CCW system include cooling of containment safety related components and reactor decay heat removal, cooling of

NRC FORM 366A

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

17122

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
	05000005	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2	
St. Lucie Unit 1 and 2	05000335	2010	- 003 -	00	Page 3 of 5	

NARRATIVE

Unit 2 control room under certain accident conditions, and cooling of safety related components associated with achieving safe shutdown coincident with a loss-of-off-site power (LOOP.)

CCW system quality related functions during normal operation include RCP cooling, Unit 2 control room air conditioners, containment fan coolers and the spent fuel pool heat exchanger.

Evaluations

The effects on the ability of the structures, systems, components (SSC) to perform its specified safety function during times in which Operators would realign the non-essential CCW header was evaluated based on scenarios from simulator exercises. Situations where Operators would realign the non-essential CCW header included response to LOCA events and a main steam line break (MSLB).

The non-essential CCW header is designed to be automatically isolated from the two essential CCW headers by valve closure on a SIAS. Each essential CCW header has a pump and heat exchanger designed to supply the minimum safety feature requirements during shutdown or design basis accident conditions. Two scenarios for Unit 1 and Unit 2 were investigated to determine the impact of realigning the non-essential CCW header following a large break LOCA.

One scenario considered failure of one CCW pump and the other considered a LOOP with failure of one emergency diesel generator. The same two scenarios for Unit 1 and Unit 2 were investigated to determine the impact of realigning the non-essential CCW header following a MSLB.

It was concluded the Unit 1 Emergency Operating Procedure, 1-EOP-99, "Appendices/Figures/Tables/Data Sheets and Unit 2 Emergency Operating Procedure, 2-EOP-99, "Appendices/Figures/Tables/Data Sheets" each have Appendices A and J that provided instructions to align the non-essential CCW header to an essential CCW header after SIAS. A design basis LOCA coincident with a LOOP and a loss-of-diesel results in automatic isolation of the non-essential CCW header from the two essential CCW headers and concurrent failure of one essential CCW header. This realignment configuration would place Unit 1 and Unit 2 outside its design bases, however for the period of time of concern neither Unit entered this configuration and consequently did not require notification of the NRC.

The limiting design basis accidents for containment temperature and pressure are MSLB and LOCA. If either Unit experienced a MSLB or LOCA with the non-essential CCW header isolated from two essential CCW headers, and only one of the essential CCW headers Operable, then EOP-99 would direct realignment of the non-essential CCW header to the Operable essential CCW header. Aligning the non-essential CCW header under these conditions could divert CCW flow from the containment cooling systems and degrade the heat removal paths. The earliest realignment of the non-essential CCW header under MSLB conditions is 7 minutes into the event. In this case, containment peak pressure and temperature results are unaffected because blow-down from the rupture is complete within approximately two minutes for Unit 1 and 154 seconds for Unit 2. Therefore, MSLB is not a concern for either unit.

For a large break LOCA on Unit 1, the non-essential CCW header alignment affects containment heat removal in the long-term, but does not affect the blow-down or reflood mass and energy releases. The initial peak in CCW temperature occurs when the containment fan coolers (CFCs) are actuated at approximately 30 seconds. This is

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		ER NUMBER	PAGE (3)	
	05000335	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
St. Lucie Unit 1 and 2		2010	- 003 -	- 00	Page 4 of 5

NARRATIVE

well before the alignment of the non-essential CCW header therefore, this peak is unaffected.

The additional heat load from the non-essential CCW header is significant and results in a higher CCW heat exchanger outlet temperature once the header is aligned. Two scenarios for Unit 1 were investigated to determine the impact of realigning the non-essential CCW header following a large break LOCA. One, for 13 minutes for failure of one CCW pump and one for 23 minutes for a LOOP with failure of one emergency diesel generator. The CCW "second peak" temperature is higher and occurs following the recirculation actuation signal. Each scenario was determined to be bounded by containment pressure and temperature analysis and not affected by realignment of the nonessential CCW header.

Analysis of Unit 2 for LOCA CCW temperature was more complex than Unit 1 due to limitations imposed by the control room air conditioning system. A spectrum of scenarios considering three break locations with minimum and maximum SI delivery was considered. Realignment of the non-essential CCW header following a large break LOCA for the period of concern would have resulted in an unanalyzed condition that significantly degraded plant safety in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii). While this condition is reportable for Unit 2 because Control Room cooling is adversely affected, the existing long-term containment pressure and temperature response is not affected.

At the time of discovery this condition would not have prevented fulfillment of a safety function of structures or systems that are needed to: (A) Shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition; (B) Remove residual heat; (C) Control the release of radioactive material; or (D) Mitigate the consequences of an accident.

Procedural instructions of 2-EOP-99 to align the non-essential CCW header to an essential CCW header constitutes a procedural error that could result in the failure of 2 or more trains in different systems (e.g., HPSI and containment spray) from properly completing their safety function. If a postulated deterministic failure on the non-essential CCW header results in the failure of the attached essential header, then the reporting requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ix) apply.

Since realignment of the non-essential CCW header following a large break LOCA results in an unanalyzed condition that significantly degraded plant safety, this condition on Unit 2 is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii).

Realignment of the non-essential CCW header following a large break LOCA could have resulted in the failure of 2 or more trains in different systems from properly completing their safety function if a failure were to occur on the non-essential CCW header, therefore this condition on Unit 1 and Unit 2 is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ix).

Analysis of Safety Significance

This condition is a legacy issue due to an inadequate review of the affects of a procedure change. At the time the procedure changes were incorporated, it was determined that the changes were within the design basis due to the 50.59 screening and the ORG review not identifying potential problems. Improvements to the 50.59 Process and ORG continuing training on potential design basis changes have minimized the potential for similar events.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
	05000005	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
. St. Lucie Unit 1 and 2	05000335	2010	- 003 -	00	Page 5 of 5	

NARRATIVE

Corrective Actions

The following corrective actions resulted from the root cause evaluation. The corrective and supporting actions were entered into the Site Correction Action Program (CAP). Any changes to the proposed actions will be managed under CAP.

- 1. Issued a Standing Order that provides guidance to the operating crews about the need to keep the non-essential headers isolated from the essential headers when sub-cooling is lost during 1-EOP-3, "Loss of Coolant Accident LOCA" or 1-EOP-15 "Excess Steam Demand."
- 2. Issued CAUTION tag for each Control Room QSPDS console to ensure that Operators know to isolate the non-essential CCW header if sub-cooling is lost during 1-EOP-3, "Loss of Coolant Accident LOCA" or 1-EOP-15 "Excess Steam Demand."
- 3. Issued CAUTION tags for each Control Room QSPDS console to ensure that Operators know to isolate the non-essential CCW header if sub-cooling is lost during 1-EOP-3, "Loss of Coolant Accident LOCA" or 1-EOP-15 "Excess Steam Demand."
- 4. Revise 1/2-EOP-99, "Appendices/Figures/Tables/Data Sheets" to resolve the issue that aligning the non-essential CCW header (N-header) to the essential CCW header as specified in Appendices A & J is outside the design basis.

Similar Events

A review of condition reports for the last 3 years for procedures causing the potential for the plant to be outside of its design basis did not identify any similar events.

Failed Components

None